UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

PHARMASTEM THERAPEUTICS, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Plaintiff,

V.

VIACELL, INC., a Delaware corporation, OBSTETRICAL AND GYNECOLOGICAL ASSOCIATES, P.A., FEMPARTNERS, INC., a Delaware corporation and CARITAS ST. ELIZABETH'S MEDICAL CENTER OF BOSTON, INC., a Massachusetts Nonprofit Corporation,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 04-CV-11673 RWZ

EXHIBIT 4

TO

DECLARATION OF ATTORNEY EDWARD W. LITTLE, JR.

_		,	Conden	selt November 15, 2004					
1	IN THE UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	Page 1	Page					
2	IN AND FOR THE DISTR		. 1	THE COURT: Good morning, counsel. I know we					
3	-		2	e probably have a number of counsel on the line. Why don't we					
4	PHARMASTEM THERAPEUTICS,	: Civil Action	3	3 start with PharmaStem.					
5	INC.,	:	1	MR. ROVNER: Your Honor, this is Phil Rovner.					
6	Plaintiff,	:	5	With me is Paul Andre.					
7	٧.	:	6	Paul, are you there?					
В	VIACELL, INC., CRYO-CELL INTERNATIONAL, INC., CORCELL,	:	7	MR. ANDRE: I am here. Good morning, Your Honor.					
9	INC., STEMĆYTE, INC., CBR SYSTEMS, INC., f/k/a CORD BLOOD	:	8	THE COURT: Good morning.					
10	REGISTRY, INC., BIRTHCELLS TECHNOLOGY, INC., NUSTEM	:	9	MR. MOYER: Your Honor, Jeff Moyer for ViaCell.					
11	TECHNOLOGIES, INC., and BIO-CELL, INC.,	:	10	With me I have Paul Ware, John Englander and Elaine Blais.					
12	Defendants.	: No. 02-148~GMS	11	MR. KIRK: Your Honor, Dick Kirk from Morris					
13	·		12	James for CBR. With me on the line is Tom Chaffin. He will					
14	VIACELL, INC., CRYO-CELL :	Civil Action	13	be joined by Bill Abrams.					
15	INTERNATIONAL, INC. and : CORCELL, INC., ;		14	MR. RODGERS: Jim Rodgers and Evelyn McConathy					
16	Plaintiffs,		15	for Cryo-Cell and CorCell from Dilworth Paxson.					
17	v. :		16	THE COURT: Good morning.					
18	PHARMASTEM THERAPEUTICS, INC.,		17	Counsel, I believe I wrote to you on the 8th,					
19	Defendants.	No. 04-1235 CMC	18	seeking to confer with you as to your views on how the Court					
20	belendants	No. 04-1335-GMS	19	should spend the coming days with regard to the motions that					
21	Wilmington, [Dolawaro	20	I have recently heard, in light of the matters that are					
22	Monday, November 11:30 a.	er 15, 2004	21	pending before the MDL, also in light of are you aware of					
23	Telephone Cor		22	Judge Moody's order down in Florida?					
24			23	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. Staying that matter					
25	BEFORE: HONORABLE GREGORY M. SLEE	ET, U.S.D.C.J.	24	for 120 days.					
ļ			25	THE COURT: Yes.					
1	APPEARANCES:		Page 2	Page 4					
2	PHILIP A. ROVNER, ESQ.	·	1	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, Your Honor.					
3	Potter Anderson & Corroon -and-	LLP	2	The second winds to commo in first is					
4	PAUL J. ANDRE, ESQ. Perkins Coie LLP		3	free to do so. We will just have a little mayhem here.					
5	(Menlo Park, California)		4	MR. ANDRE: Your Honor, I will go first, since we					
6	Counsel for	Plaintiff	5	are the plaintiff.					
7	JEFFREY L. MOYER, ESQ. Richards, Layton & Finger		6						
В	-and- PAUL F. WARE, ESQ.,		7	MR. ANDRE: I think we obviously would have no					
9	JOHN ENGLANDER, ESQ., and ELAINE BLAIS, ESQ.		8	problem staying what I will refer to as the second Delaware					
10	Goodwin Procter LLP (Boston, MA)		9	case, the Viacell v. PharmaStem case, because that is					
11	Counsel for	ViaCell	10	directly relevant to the MDL proceedings.					
12	RICHARD D. KIRK, ESQ.		11	What I am concerned about I guess at this point					
13	Morris, James, Hitchens & -and-		12	is in the PharmaStem One case, where we have had the tri-					
14	WILLIAM F. ABRAMS, ESQ., a THOMAS CHAFFIN, ESQ.	and	13	and the posttrial motions, there are several motions pending					
15	Pillsbury Winthrop LLP (Palo Alto, California)		14	regarding 54(b) and 1292, to get these matters to the					
16		CBR Systems, Inc.	15	appellate court, I am a little concerned that any kind of					
17		lood Registry, Inc.	16	stay would affect that aspect of the case, just because, the					
18	JAMES J. RODGERS, ESQ., an EVELYN H. MCCONATHY, ESQ.	na ·	17	case is going on now I think for three years. And we would					
19	Dilworth Paxson LLP (Philadelphia, PA)		18	like to seek resolution of that case through the appellate					
20	Counsel for D	Defendant CorCell, Inc.	19	process sooner rather than later.					
21			20	So my biggest issue, not necessarily for the					
22			21	preliminary injunction in that case, but all the other					
23			22	matters that are currently pending, we would like to seek					
24			23	final resolution to them as soon as possible.					
25			24	THE COURT: Let me suggest, also, that the Court					
			25	has, in the front of its mind, one of the motions that it					

4

12

15

16

17

Page 5

strikes me is probably preliminary is the motion for reconsideration. Let me, Mr. Andre, give you an opportunity, since you spoke up first, to address that specifically. Not the merits. I didn't notify counsel that I wanted to talk about the merits. But whether, in addition to the motions you have mentioned, you think the Court -- what is your view as to whether the Court should go forward with its resolution

MR. ANDRE: I would think it would make sense, Your Honor. The way I am looking at this is there are two very separate cases. The PharmaStem v. ViaCell case or the first Delaware case is not really related to the MDL proceedings whatsoever. That involves two different patents

of that motion?

8

9

10

11

14

15

17

20

21

16

goals.

and obviously the antitrust claims brought by ViaCell. So I think what would make sense is for the Court 16 to clean up what needs to be cleaned up on the posttrial motions regarding the motions for reconsideration, then let 18 us get to the Federal Circuit with the various issues. I 19 know we have final judgment with respect to the '553 patent and the contributory infringement issue. I know that the defendants want to bring in the issue of validity as well. I

22 think that with the '681 patent, the defendants have 23 originally moved under 1292 to appeal the validity of that

24 case. We opposed that, in the alternative, moved to appeal

So I think at this point, if we could get all

the issue regarding our expert witness, Dr. Hendrix.

1 have such an agreement. I have certainly brought that to the attention of PharmaStem since the day of the hearing. I 3 don't think we have a definitive response yea or nay on that.

Page 7

Page 8

So I think we ought to deal with that.

5 Secondly, from our point of view, if we had such an agreement from PharmaStem, we could certainly live with a stay in the antitrust case, meaning on our affirmative motion for preliminary injunction. We could live with a stay for the time being on any motion for contempt. And we agree that there should be some focus on the patent case, I think on two 11 fronts.

It seems to me the Court is absolutely right that the motion for reconsideration should be dealt with, since it could obviate a good deal of the case, and secondly, we as a company need to get the preliminary injunction filed by PharmaStem dealt with, because that really hangs over the company as a serious business issue. And we are just not in a position to agree that that should be put off.

19 So we agree, particularly if they are willing to enter into some kind of standstill as we did on October 5th, that the Court could concentrate just on the patent case, the original Delaware case. In that regard, we think the Court is right that the motion for reconsideration is appropriate to think about. In any event, we need to deal with this motion for a preliminary injunction which would, in effect,

Page 6

2

3

shut down the defendants' businesses or seriously undermine

those businesses. We can't live with that hanging out there. THE COURT: Okay. Other defendants' views?

MR. RODGERS: Your Honor, for CorCell and 5

Cryo-Cell.

6 I agree with what Mr. Ware was saying. Certainly, the reconsideration motion in a lot of ways is a

threshold question before you would get to any of the pending

motions with regard to appeal and certification, because if

10 you grant that motion, that would obviate those other 11

motions.

12 I certainly agree with what Mr. Ware said about 13 proceeding on both the new case and on the existing case on PharmaStem's motion for a preliminary injunction.

15 THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else on the defense 16

side of the table want to be heard?

17 Mr. Andre, your reaction?

18 MR. ANDRE: My reaction, Your Honor, I think we 19

are pretty much in agreement here. I have no problem with 20 going forward with PharmaStem's current preliminary

21 injunction and basically treating the first Delaware case.

22 the patent case, as separate and distinct from the other

23 issues and having those dealt with at the Court's earliest

24 convenience. 25

With respect to a standstill that Mr. Ware

this posttrial motion practice cleaned up so we could get to the Federal Circuit as soon as possible. I think that would also include the motion for reconsideration. I thought I heard someone else talking. I think that would make sense. 6 7 THE COURT: Defendants. 8 MR. WARE: Your Honor, Paul Ware for ViaCell. 9 I think, you know, a preliminary question in our 10 minds is what the Court's overriding concern is. If that concern is certain things are before other jurisdictions and 11 12 that needs to get consolidated in order to rationalize this 13 process, that is a factor. If, on the other hand, a concern 14 is the Court's workload and other demands, that is also an 15 important factor. And we would work toward either of those

17 But it seems to me that PharmaStem could help 18 itself here by doing what we did on October 5th and agreeing that until such rulings as the Court is going to make are in 19 place, we should have no more of the publications or the 20 21 letter-writing or the disputed conduct that involves the 22 OB/GYN community. If we can have that, I think that frees 23 the parties and particularly the defendants to come to some 24 agreement here that may assist the Court considerably. I 25 don't know if that is possible. I think we should push to

Page 9 1 suggested, I think, in principle, you know, as long as it is 1 it exactly. clearly defined what that is, we can't put a gag order on our 2 MR. ANDRE: I will check with my client, clients pending this case going forward, with respect to -- I obviously. I think they are going to have a problem with will give you an example. We are in negotiations for another giving their licensed banks a 72-hour window, because licensee. If we get a licensee and we send out a press essentially, that will give them a chance to counter it with release because our licensee would prefer to be known, would 6 6 a press release before we get ours out. 7 that be in violation of the standstill? Could we get clearly THE COURT: I guess that would certainly violate defined what is a -- what Mr. Ware is referring to as, probably both the letter and the spirit of any agreement you earlier we were talking about keeping our (inaudible) dry, might come up with. I would imagine you could build in some 10 then I think we can agree to all that. 10 language in an agreement to avoid that kind of circumstance 11 THE COURT: Mr. Ware. 11 coming to pass. 12 MR. WARE: What I have in mind is what I 12 MR. ANDRE: I think Mr. Ware and I can talk 13 understood we did last time. That is, number one, no mass 13 offline and probably work something out, and I will talk to mailings to members of the obstetrics and gynecology 14 14 my client. My client is obviously not going to be in favor community, whether the association mailing list or some other 15 15 of any type of a gag order. list. Number two, no publication of offending ads in these 16 16 THE COURT: Sure. 17 pregnancy leave-behind magazines. And number three, no press 17 MR. ANDRE: Their business is licensing their 18 releases along those same lines, that would frustrate the patents. If they get licenses or if they get -- certain 19 other two steps. 19 things happen in their business. This is how they 20 But to the extent that PharmaStem gets an inquiry 20 communicate to the world. 21 from an individual OB/GYN, we would agree that it's 21 THE COURT: I certainly didn't understand Mr. 22 appropriate for them to respond to that inquiry. We are 22 Ware to object to your publication of the fact that you have 23 really talking about mass efforts to influence this shift in achieved a license or business result of some type like that. 24 business. 24 Then, perhaps with an overabundance of hope, the 25 THE COURT: Mr. Andre. Court is going to concentrate its efforts on the motion for Page 10 1 MR. ANDRE: I think that, the problem, like I said, the only uncertainty I would have with this, I would have to check with PharmaStem, but it is the uncertainty regarding the press release. We generally have put press releases out in the past. I believe there are numerous press 5 releases regarding business developments or court

6 developments or whatever. To the extent that the Court in Pennsylvania issues a ruling, we would like to be able to put 8 9 a press release out in that regard. 10 As far as mass mailings go, et cetera, I don't think that -- I think that is something we could probably 11 12 agree to and maybe Mr. Ware and I could talk offline there. I would have to talk to my client. 13 14 MR. WARE: As regards the press release, Your 15 Honor, it would be acceptable to us if we got 72 -- three 16 business days' notice of a press release, such that if we do 17 have a complaint about it we could take it up with the Court 18 if necessary. There may be press releases that would be 19 appropriate. It's hard to say. But I don't think a press 20 release which in effect frustrates the agreement we are making about no mass mailings or no broadsides to the OB/GYN 21 22 community would be appropriate. There may be other press

Page 12 reconsideration, PharmaStem's motion for a preliminary injunction, and I will call it the motions Mr. Andre spoke of that will need to be resolved so that the matters related to the underlying patent case can be taken up on appeal. Anybody have anything else it wishes the Court to focus its attention on? MR. ROVNER: Your Honor, just a couple of issues on the parties getting together and trying to work out things before they go out. I think that is a pretty good idea. I think it does go both ways. There should be no reason why parties to a lawsuit can't agree on what the Court did in a particular setting. For example, if something happened in Florida, the parties in the Florida case should be able to agree on what the Court did. So I think that there should be communications both ways, so before anybody 16 does anything, the same window, whether it is 72 or 48 hours, 17 the parties should be able to agree and work out what gets 18 released if anything. 19 THE COURT: Sure. 20 MR. ROVNER: Hopefully that can be worked out, 21 and if we do get a standstill agreement in place in what we 22 call the second Delaware action, what Mr. Ware referred to as 23 the antitrust action, that the standstill be with respect to 24 everything in the case, including discovery. 25 THE COURT: Well, that is fine. I will, as Mr.

releases that we wouldn't have a problem with.

THE COURT: Mr. Andre, what is your reaction to

that preliminary proposal? I am not asking you to sign onto

23

24

1.

Page 11

Page 13 1 Andre and Mr. Ware have both suggested, I will leave it to counsel offline to try to work out the details. I guess you would want to put it in the form of a stipulation for my signature. I guess that would probably give the greatest level of comfort to all parties concerned, would it not? 6 MR. ANDRE: I think so, Your Honor. 7 MR. WARE: I think that does make sense. 8 I want to be clear, when we are talking about no attack or communication to the OB/GYN community on a mass basis, we are also talking inherently about these amnesty 10 agreements. I presume that's understood. But I perhaps 11 12 should say it. 13 THE COURT: Yes. 14 MR. WARE: Since that is part of the 15 communications to them. 16 MR. ANDRE: I am not sure what you are talking about, because obviously those have already been mailed. 17 THE COURT: I think he is talking about the 18 future, from the website. 19 20 MR. WARE: If we are going to have a standstill, the standstill includes mass efforts to the OB/GYN community. You have 250 agreements or whatever you have. 22 But you shouldn't be continuing to sign those up and/or going back out to that community urging them to sign amnesty 24 25 agreements. These things should be on hold until the Court Page 14 1 rules. That's the standstill. We are standing still. MR. ANDRE: We can talk offline. I will have to 2 3 get my client's acquiescence to that. I think that would be 4 resisted. We can talk and see if we can work something out. 5 THE COURT: Given the Court's statement as to how it intends to direct its resources, does anybody have any 6 7 difficulty with what I have said? 8 MR. ANDRE: No, Your Honor. 9 MR. WARE: I think that is fine, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: That is how I will proceed here in chambers, and hope your efforts bear fruit with regard to the 11 standstill. If it is a problem and we need to get on the phone again to try to massage some of the details and work out with the Court's assistance some of those details, the Court would be willing to do that, because I think it would 16 be something that would free up me and my limited resources to concentrate right now where the rubber is meeting the road, as it were. If you need to, you should call chambers 18 19 for that reason. 20 And certainly, the Court would appreciate being 21 informed as to any developments that you think it needs to 22 know about, hopefully not in the form of a motion. 23 All right, counsel. 24 (Teleconference concluded at 11:50 a.m.) Reporter: Kevin Maurer

·				Condens	selt	/	. 490	553 - (Goodwi
'553 [1] 5:19 '681 [1] 5:22		anticrust [3] 7:7 12:23	5:14	chance [1] check [2]	11:5 10:3	13:2 14:23	11.5	efforts [4] 11:25 13:21	9:23 14:11
-and [3] 2:3	2:7	appeal [4]	5:23	11:2	10:3	counter [1]	11:5	either [1]	6:15
2:13	2:7	5:24 8:9	12:4	chime [1]	4:2	couple [1]	12:7	Elaine [2]	2:9
02-148-GMS	2 511	appellate [2]	4:15	Circuit [2]	5:18	court [42]	3:8	3:10	2:9
1:12	, [+]	4:18	7.20	6:3	3:16	3:16 3:18 4:2 4:6	3:25 4:15	Englander [2]	2:8
04-1335-GM	Sm	appreciate [1]	14:20	circumstance	T13	4:24 4:24	5:6	3:10	2.0
1:19	[]	appropriate [4	7:23	11:10	[1]	5:7 5:15	6:7	enter [1] 7:20	
11[2] 1:22	14:24	9:22 10:19	10:22	Civil [2] 1:4	1:14	6:19 6:24	7:12	ESQnn	2:2
120[1] 3:24		aspect [1]	4:16	claims [1]	5:14	7:21 7:22 8:15 9:11	8:3 9:25	2:3 2:6	2:8
1292 [2] 4:14	5:23	assist [1]	6:24	clean [1] 5:16		10:6 10:7	10:17	2:8 2:9	2:12
15 [1] 1:22		assistance [1]	14:14	cleaned [2]	5:16	10:24 11:7	11:16	2:13 2:14 2:18	2:17
2004 [1] 1:22		association [1]	9:15	6:2		11:21 11:25	12:5	essentially [1]	11:5
250 [1] 13:22		attack [1]	13:9	clear [1] 13:8		12:11 12:14 12:25 13:13	12:19 13:18	et [1] 10:10	11:5
30[1] 1:22		attention [2]	7:2	clearly [2]	9:2	13:25 14:5	14:10	Evelyn [2]	2.10
48[1] 12:16		12:6		9:7		14:15 14:20	11.10	3:14	2:18
50 [1] 14:24		avoid [1]	11:10	client [4]	10:13	Court's [5]	6:10	event[1]	7:24
54[1] 4:14		aware [1]	3:21	11:2 11:14	11:14	6:14 8:23	14:5	example [2]	9: 4
5th [2] 6:18	7:20	b [1] 4:14		client's [1]	14:3	14:14		12:12	J. T
72 [2] 10:15	12:16	banks [1]	11:4	clients [1]	9:3	Cryo-Cell [4]	1:7	existing [1]	8:13
72-hour[1]	11:4	basis [1] 13:10		Coie [1] 2:4		1:14 3:15	8:5	expert [1]	5:25 [']
8th [1] 3:17		bear[1] 14:11		comfort [1]	13:5	Current [1]	8:20	extent [2]	9:20
a.m [2] 1:22	14:24	biggest[1]	4:20	coming [2]	3:19	D[1] 2:12	2.24	10:7	
able [3] 10:8	12:14	Bill[1] 3:13		communicate		days [2] 3:19	3:24	F [2] 2:8	2:13
12:17		BIO-CELL [1]	1:11	11:20	[1]	days' [1]	10:16	f/k/a [2] 1:9	2:16
Abrams [2]	2:13	BIRTHCELL	S [1]	communication	m (11	deal [3] 7:4 7:24	7:14	fact[1] 11:22	
3:13		1:9		13:9)II [1]	dealt [3] 7:13	7:16	factor [2]	6:13
absolutely [1]	7:12	Blais [2] 2:9	3:10	communication	ns [2]	8:23	7.10	6:15	
acceptable [1]	10:15	Blood [2] 2:16	1:9	12:15 13:15		Defendant [1]	2:20	fair[1] 4:6	
achieved [1]	11:23	Boston [1]	2:10	community [6]		defendants [6]		far [1] 10:10	
acquiescence	[1]	bring [1] 5:21	2:10	9:15 10:22 13:22 13:24	13:9	1:19 5:21	5:22	favor [1]	11:14
14:3		broadsides [1]	10:21		7.15	6:7 6:23		Federal [2]	5:18
action [4] 1:14 12:22	1:4 12:23	brought [1]	5:14	company [2]	7:15	defendants' [1		6:3	
addition [1]	5:5	build [1] 11:9	5.14	complaint [1]	10:17	defense [1]	8:15	filed[1] 7:15	~ · · ·
address [1]	5:3	business [7]	7:17	concentrate [3]		defined [2]	9:2	final [2] 4:23	5:19
ads[1] 9:16	5.5	9:24 10:6	10:16	11:25 14:17	7.21	9:8 definitive [1]	7.0	fine [2] 12:25	14:9
affect [1]	4:16	11:17 11:19	11:23	concern [3]	6:10	Delaware [7]	7:3 1:2	Finger [1]	2:7
again [1]	14:13	businesses [1]	8:2	6:11 6:13		1:21 4:8	5:12	first [5] 4:2 5:3 5:12	4:4 8:21
agree [11]	7:9	California [2]	2:4	concerned [3]	4:11	7:22 8:21	12:22	Florida [3]	3:22
7:18 7:19	8:6	2:15		4:15 13:5		demands [1]	6:14	12:13 12:13	3.44
8:12 9:10	9:21	case [23] 4:9	4:9	concluded [1]	14:24	details [3]	13:2	focus [2]	7:10
10:12 12:11	12:14	4:12 4:16 4:18 4:21	4:17 5:11	conduct [1]	6:21	14:13 14:14		12:6	,,,,,
12:17 agreeing [1]	6.10	5:12 5:24	7:7	confer[1]	3:18	developments		form [2] 13:3	14:22
agreement [7]	6:18 6:24	7:10 7:14	7:21	Conference [1]		10:6 10:7	14:21	forward [3]	5:7
7:6 8:19	10:20	7:22 8:13	8:13	considerably [1 6:24	l]	Dick [1] 3:11		8:20 9:3	
11:8 11:10	12:21	8:21 8:22 12:4 12:13	9:3 12:24	consolidated [1		different [1]		free [2] 4:3	14:16
agreements [3]		cases [1] 5:11	12.24	6:12	J	difficulty [1]		frees [1] 6:22	
13:22 13:25		CBR [3] 1:8	2:16	I	7:9	Dilworth [2]		front [1] 4:25	
along [1]	9:18	3:12	2:10	continuing [1]		3:15		fronts [1]	7:11
alternative [1]	5:24	certain [2]	6:11	contributory [1]		direct [1]		fruit [1] 14:11	
Alto [1] 2:15	•	11:18	0.11	5:20)	directly [1]			9:18
amnesty [2]	13:10	certainly [6]	7:6	convenience [1]	!	discovery [1]			10:20
13:24		8:7 8:12	11:7	8:24	•	disputed [1]	6:21	future [1]	13:19
	2:2	11:21 14:20		CorCell [5]	1:8	distinct [1]			11:15
	2:3	certification [1]			3:15	DISTRICT [1]			10:4
	4:4	8:9		8:4		down [1]	3:22	Given [1]	14:5
	5:9 9:25		10:10		2:16	Dr [1] 5:25		giving [1]	11:4
	9:25 11:12		2:14		2:2	dry [1] 9:9		goals[1]6:16	
11:17 12:2	13:6	3:12	,,,,		2:5	earliest [1]	8:23		3:8
	14:8	chambers [2]	14:11		2:20		7:25		12:9
		17.10		3:2 3:17	5:4	10:20		Goodwin [1]	2:9
C-1		C1							j

Case 1:	:04-cv-	11673-RWZ	Doc	ument 31-5	nseIt	11/22/2004	Page	7 of 8 grant -	Registr
grant[1] 8:10		J _[2] 2:3	2:17	10:22		7:20		Plaintiffs [1]	1:16
greatest [1]	13:4	James [3]	2:12	mayhem [1]	4:3	off [1] 7:18		point [2] 4:11	7:5
GREGORY		2:17 3:12		McConathy		offending[1]	9:16	position [1]	7:18
guess [4]	4:11	Jeff [1] 3:9		3:14	[2] 2.10	offline [4]	10:12	possible [3]	4:23
11:7 13:2	13:4	JEFFREY [1]	2:6	MDL [3]	3:21	11:13 13:2	14:2	6:3 6:25	4:23
gynecology	11 9:14	Jim [1] 3:14		4:10 5:12	-,	one [3] 4:12	4:25	posttrial [3]	4:13
H _[1] 2:18		John [2] 2:8	3:10	meaning [1]	7:7	9:13	1.23	5:16 6:2	7.13
hand [1] 6:13		joined [1]	3:13	meeting [1]	14:17	onto [1] 10:25		Potter [1]	2:2
hanging [1]	8:2	Judge [1]	3:22	members [1]	9:14	opportunity [1	15:2	practice [1]	6:2
hangs [1]	7:16	judgment [1]	5:19	Menlo [1]	2:4	opposed [1]	5:24	prefer [1]	9:6
hard [1] 10:19	7.10	jurisdictions		mentioned [1		order [4] 3:22	6:12	pregnancy[1]	
heard [3]	3:20	6:11	, [1]	merits [2]	5:4	9:2 11:15	0.12	preliminary [7.1 / or 4.01
6:5 8:16	3.20	keeping [1]	9:9	5:5	5.1	original [1]	7:22	6:9 7:8	7:15
hearing [1]	7:2	Kevin [1]	14:25	might [1]	11:9	originally [1]	5:23	7:25 8:14	8:20
help [1] 6:17	7.2	kind [3] 4:15	7:20	mind [2] 4:25	9:12	ought [1]	7:4	10:25	
Hendrix [1]	5:25	11:10	7.20	minds [1]	6:10	ours[1] 11:6	,	press [12]	9:5
Hitchens [1]	2:12	Kirk [3] 2:12	3:11	Monday [1]	1:22	overabundanc	A F13	9:17 10:4	10:4
hold [1] 13:25	2.12	3:11	5,11	Moody's [1]	3:22	11:24	Ե [1]	10:5 10:9 10:16 10:18	10:14
Нопог [14]	3:4	known [1]	9:6	morning [3]	3:7	overriding [1]	6:10	10:16 10:18	10:19
3:7 3:9	3:4 3:11	L[1] 2:6		3:8 3:16	3.7	Palo [1] 2:15	0.20	presume [1]	13:11
4:4 5:10	6:8	language [1]	11:10	Morris [2]	2:12	Park [1] 2:4		pretty [2]	8:19
8:4 8:18	10:15	last [1] 9:13		3:11	2.12	part [1] 13:14		12:9	0.13
12:7 13:6	14:8	lawsuit [1]	12:11	motion [13]	5:8	particular [1]	12:12	problem [5]	4:8
14:9	_	Layton [1]	2:7	6:2 6:4	7:7	particularly [2]		8:19 10:23	11:3
HONORABL 1:25	Æ[1]	leave-behind		7:9 7:13	7:23	7:19	0.23	14:12	
hope [2] 11:24	14:11	9:17	[-]	7:25 8:7 8:14 11:25	8:10 14:22	parties [6]	6:23	proceed [1]	14:10
hopefully [2]	12:20	letter [1] 11:8		motions [10]	3:19	12:8 12:11	12:13	proceeding [1]	
14:22	12:20	letter-writing	! [1]	4:13 4:13	4:25	12:17 13:5		proceedings [2	2] 4:10
hours [1]	12:16	6:21	,	5:5 5:17	5:17	pass [1] 11:11		5:13	
idea [1] 12:10	12.10	level [1] 13:5		8:9 8:11	12:2	past[1] 10:5		process [2]	4:19
imagine [1]	11:9	license [1]	11:23	moved [2]	5:23	patent [6]	5:19	6:13	
important [1]	6:15	licensed [1]	11:4	5:24			7:21	Procter [1]	2:9
inaudible [1]	9:9	licensee [3]	9:5	Moyer [3] 3:9 3:9	2:6	8:22 12:4		proposal [1]	10:25
Inc [17] 1:4	1:7	9:5 9:6				patents [2]	5:13	publication [2]	9:16
1:8 1:8	1:7	licenses [1]	11:18	nay [1] 7:3	4.00	1	2:8	publications [1	
1:9 1:9	1:10	licensing [1]	11:17	necessarily [1			2.6 3:10	6:20	IJ
1:10 1:11	1:14	light [2] 3:20	3:21	necessary [1]	10:18	6:8	0.10	push [1] 6:25	
1:14 1:15 2:16 2:16	1:18	limited [1]	14:16	need [5] 7:15 12:3 14:12	7:24 14:18	Paxson [2]	2:18	put [5] 7:18	9:2
2:16 2:16 include [1]	2:20	line [2] 3:2	3:12	needs [3]	5:16	3:15		10:4 10:8	9.2 13:3
includes [1]	6:4	lines [1] 9:18		6:12 14:21	5:10		3:21	rather [1]	4:19
including [1]	13:21	list [2] 9:15	9:16	negotiations	m	4:13 4:22 9:3	8:8	rationalize [1]	6:12
individual [1]	12:24	live [3] 7:6	7:8	9:4	L*J			reaction [3]	8:17
influence [1]	9:21	8:2		new [1] 8:13		Pennsylvania [1]	8:18 10:24	0.17
	9:23	LLP [6] 2:2	2:4	notice [1]	10:16		11:24	really [3]	5:12
informed [1]	14:21	2:9 2:12 2:18	2:14	notify [1]	5:4	13:11	11.24	7:16 9:23	
infringement [5:20	1]	L .	5.10	November [1]	1:22	l	2:4	reason [2]	12:11
l	12.10	looking [1]	5:10	now [2] 4:17	14:17	PharmaStem [12		14:19	
l	13:10	M [1] 1:25		number [4]	3:2		3:3	recently [1]	3:20
7:8 7:15	4:21 7:25	magazines [1]	9:17	9:13 9:16	9:17	4:9 4:12	5:11	reconsideratio	
8:14 8:21	12:2	mailed [1]	13:17	numerous [1]	10:5		7:6		6:4
	9:20	mailing [1]	9:15	NUSTEM [1]	1:10		.0:3		8:7
9:22		mailings [3]	9:14	OB/GYN [5]	6:22	PharmaStem's 8:14 8:20	2]	refer [1] 4:8	
intends [1]	14:6	10:10 10:21	0.00	9:21 10:21	13:9	Phil [1] 3:4			12:22
INTERNATIO	NAL	mass [6] 9:13 10:10 10:21	9:23 13:9	13:21		Philadelphia [1]			9:8
[2] 1:8	1:14	13:21	13.9	object [1]	11:22	2:19			3:19
	5:13	massage [1]	14:13	obstetrics [1]	9:14		:2	7:22 8:9 14:11	10:9
6:21		matter [1]	3:23	obviate [2]	7:14				4:14
	5:20	matters [4]	3:20	8:10		l			10:4
	7:17	4:14 4:22	12:3	obviously [5]	4:7		:14	10:6	
	5:18	Maurer [1]	14:25	5:14 11:3	11:14		2:21	regards [1]	10:14
	12:7	may [3] 6:24	10:18	13:17 October [2]	6.10	plaintiff [3] 1 2:5 4:5		Registry [2]	1:9
itself [1] 6:18			-0.20	OCIOUCI [2]	6:18	7.5		2:16	ł
Colonarforma	T 1	01							

related [2]	5:12	SPORE [2]	5:3	validity		5:21	11/22/2004 T	Page	 	related -	years
12:3		12:2		5:23			1				
release [7] 10:4 10:9	9:6 10:14	standstill [8] 8:25 9:7	7:20 12:21	various		5:18			}		
10:16 10:20	11:6	12:23 13:20	13:21	Viacell		1:7					
released [1]	12:18	14:12	13.21		2:11 5:11	3:9 5:14					
releases [5]	9:18	start [1] 3:3		6:8	J.11	3.14			ĺ		
10:5 10:6	10:18	statement [1]	14:5	view [2]	5:6	7:5			1		
10:23		stay [3] 4:16	7:7	views [2]		3:18					
relevant [1]	4:10	7:8		8:3	-	-					
Reporter [1]	14:25	staying [2]	3:23	violate [11:7			}		
resisted [1]	14:4	4:8		violatio	n [1]	9:7					ĺ
resolution [3]	4:18	STEMCYTE I	[1]	wants [1]		4:2	·				
4:23 5:7				Ware [19]		2:8	ļ				
esolved[1]	12:3	steps [1] 9:19 stipulation [1]	10.0			6:8	l		ļ		
resources [2] 14:16	14:6					8:25 9:12					
respect [4]	5:19	such [3] 6:19 10:16	7:5			9:12 11:12			1		
8:25 9:3	5:19 12:23	suggest [1]	4:24	11:22	12:22	13:7			j		
espond[1]	9:22	Systems [2]	1:9	1		14:9					
esponse [1]	7:3	2:16	1.9	ways [3] 8	8:7	12:10					1
esult[1]	11:23	table [1] 8:16		12:15							-
CHARD [13		TECHNOLOG	IES m	website		13:19	}				j
Richards [1]	2:7	1:10		whatsoe							İ
right [4] 7:12	7:23	TECHNOLOG	Y [1]	WILLIA							1
14:17 14:23		1:10		William		2:12					l
oad [1] 14:18		Teleconference	e [1]	willing [2	2]	7:19					ļ
Rodgers [4]	2:17]	1.00	Wilming	rton ru	1.21					
3:14 3:14	8:4	Telephone [1] THERAPEUT	1:23 ICO	window	tai Mulii	11:4					ľ
Rovner [5]	2:2	1:4 1:18	ICS [2]	12:16	[2]	11.4					ł
3:4 3:4 12:20	12:7	1	2:14	Winthrop	D (11)	2:14					ļ
ubber [1]	14:17	I	6:5	wishes [1		12:5					
iling [1]	10:8	1.	9:17	witness [5:25	•				
llings [1]	6:19	10:15	J.11	worked [1		12:20					ĺ
cond [2]	4:8	threshold [1]	8:8	workload		5:14					1
2:22	4.0		4:18	world [1]		11:20					
condly [2]	7:5	together [1]	12:8	wrote [1]		3:17	•				
7:14		Tom [1] 3:12		yea[1] 7				1			1
æ[1] 14:4		toward [1]	6:15	years [1] 4				1			j
æk [2] 4:18	4:22	treating [1]	8:21			- 1					
æking [1]	3:18	trial [1] 4:12									1
nd [1] 9:5		try [2] 13:2	14:13			1		ļ			- 1
ense [4]	5:9	trying [1]	12:8			ŀ					
:15 6:6	13:7		5:13					.]			- 1
	5:11		9:19	_							1
3:22			1:23								
rious [1]	7:17		:25					ľ			1
	12:12	uncertainty [2]	0:2								-
	4:13	10:3				-					- 1
ift[1] 9:23			:23					}			
de [1] 8:16		underlying [1] 1									
gn [3] 10:25 3:24		understand[1] 1				ļ	•				- 1
	13:4	understood [2] 9	:13								
		13:11 UNIDENTIFIE	D :			ĺ					ĺ
		3:23	[ו] ע			}		ļ			ł
инсонстт (5:5		:16					j			-
	5:3		0:17								
on [2] 4:23	4.10							1			
on [2] 4:23 (oner [1]	1:19	11:9 12:4 1	3:23			į.		- 1			1
oon [2] 4:23 (ooner [1] 4 PEAKER [1] 3	3:23	11:9 12:4 1 14:16									
oon [2] 4:23 (ooner [1] 4 PEAKER [1] 3 ecifically [1] 5	3:23 5:3	11:9 12:4 1 14:16 urging [1] 1:									
oon [2] 4:23 (coner [1] 4:26 (3:23 5:3	11:9 12:4 1 14:16 urging [1] 1:	3:23								